

2. Introduction to Supervised Learning

Ludwig Krippahl

Supervised Learning

Summary

- Supervised learning, basic concepts
- Regression and classification
- Fitting curves with Least Mean Squares

Basic concepts

Supervised Learning

Basic idea

- We have a set of labelled data

$$\{(x^1, y^1), \dots, (x^n, y^n)\}$$

- We assume there is some function

$$F(X) : X \rightarrow Y$$

- The goal of **Supervised Learning** is to find (from the examples)

$$g(\theta, X) : X \rightarrow Y$$

- such that $g(\theta, X)$ approximates $F(X)$ Supervised because we can compare $g(\theta, X)$ to Y

Supervised Learning

Training (Supervised learning)

- Ideally, we want to approximate $F(X) : X \rightarrow Y$ for all X
- But, for now, we'll consider only our Training Set

$$\{(x^1, y^1), \dots, (x^n, y^n)\}$$

■ Training Set

- The data we use to adjust the parameters θ in our model.
- More generally: data used to choose a hypothesis

■ Training Error or Empirical Error

- The error on the training set for each instance of θ .
- (Sample Error in Mitchell 1997)

Supervised Learning

Our ML problem for today:

- Goal: Predict the Y values in our training set
- Performance: minimise training error
- Data: $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$

Classification and Regression

- In Classification Y is discrete.
 - Examples: SPAM detection, predict if mushrooms are poisonous
 - Find function to split data in different sets
- In Regression Y is continuous.
 - Examples: predicting trends, prices, purchase probabilities
 - Find function that approximates Y

Regression

Regression

Regression example

- Polynomial fitting: a simple example of linear regression.

$$y = \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \dots + \theta_{n+1}$$


- Example: we have a set of (x, y) points and want to fit the best line:

$$y = \theta_1 x + \theta_2$$

- How to find the best line?

Regression

■ How to find the best line?



Finding the best line

- Assume y is a function of x plus some error:

$$y = F(x) + \epsilon$$

- We want to approximate $F(x)$ with some $g(x, \theta)$.
- Assuming $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ and $g(x, \theta) \sim F(x)$, then:

$$p(y|x) \sim \mathcal{N}(g(x, \theta), \sigma^2)$$

- Given $\mathcal{X} = \{x^t, y^t\}_{t=1}^N$ and
- knowing that $p(x, y) = p(y|x)p(x)$

$$p(X, Y) = \prod_{t=1}^n p(x^t, y^t) = \prod_{t=1}^n p(y^t|x^t) \times \prod_{t=1}^n p(x^t)$$

Finding the best line

- The probability of (X, Y) given some $g(x, \theta)$ is the
- likelihood of parameters θ :

$$l(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \prod_{t=1}^n p(x^t, y^t) = \prod_{t=1}^n p(y^t|x^t) \times \prod_{t=1}^n p(x^t)$$

Likelihood

- Data points (x, y) are randomly sampled from all possible values.
- But θ is not a random variable.
- Find the θ that, if true, would make the data is most probable
- In other words, find the θ of maximum likelihood

Maximum likelihood

$$l(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \prod_{t=1}^n p(x^t, y^t) = \prod_{t=1}^n p(y^t|x^t) \times \prod_{t=1}^n p(x^t)$$

- First, take the logarithm (same maximum)

$$L(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \log \left(\prod_{t=1}^n p(y^t|x^t) \times \prod_{t=1}^n p(x^t) \right)$$

- We ignore $p(X)$, since it's independent of θ

$$L(\theta|\mathcal{X}) \propto \log \left(\prod_{t=1}^n p(y^t|x^t) \right)$$

- Replace the expression for the normal:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) \propto \log \prod_{t=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-[y^t - g(x^t|\theta)]^2/2\sigma^2}$$

Maximum likelihood

- Replace the expression for the normal:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) \propto \log \prod_{t=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-[y^t - g(x^t|\theta)]^2/2\sigma^2}$$

- Simplify:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) \propto \log \prod_{t=1}^n e^{-[y^t - g(x^t|\theta)]^2}$$
$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) \propto - \sum_{t=1}^n [y^t - g(x^t|\theta)]^2$$

Maximum likelihood

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) \propto - \sum_{t=1}^n [y^t - g(x^t|\theta)]^2$$

Under our assumptions:

- Max(likelihood) = Min(squared error):

$$E(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{t=1}^n [y^t - g(x^t|\theta)]^2$$


- Note: the squared error is often written

$$E(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^n [y^t - g(x^t|\theta)]^2$$

- (but this is just for convenience in computing the derivative)

Least Mean Squares Minimization

How to find the best line?



How to find the best line?


- We find the parameters for


$$g(x) = x\theta_1 + \theta_2$$


- that minimize the squared error

$$E(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{t=1}^n [y^t - g(x^t)]^2$$


- Let's visualise this surface wrt θ







- This allows us to find the best θ_1, θ_2 (not a very good model...)




Curves

Linear Regression

- How to fit curves with something straight?
- We can change the data:
 - $\mathcal{X}_2 = \{x_1^t, x_2^t, y^t\}$, where $x_1 = x^2$ and $x_2 = x$
- Using a nonlinear transformation we project the data into a curved surface

Curves



Linear Regression


- Now we fit our new data set

$$\mathcal{X}_2 = \{x_1^t, x_2^t, y^t\}$$

- With the (linear) model in three dimensions


$$y = \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \theta_3$$

Curves




Curves

- Then we project it back using $x_1 = x^2$ and $x_2 = x$



Curves



Linear Regression

- This is the equivalent of fitting a second degree polynomial

$$y = \theta_1 x^2 + \theta_2 x + \theta_3$$


```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

mat = np.loadtxt('polydata.csv', delimiter=';')
x, y = (mat[:, 0], mat[:, 1])
coefs = np.polyfit(x, y, 2)

pxs = np.linspace(0, max(x), 100)
poly = np.polyval(coefs, pxs)

plt.figure(1, figsize=(12, 8), frameon=False)
plt.plot(x, y, 'or')
plt.plot(pxs, poly, '-')
plt.axis([0, max(x), -1.5, 1.5])
plt.title('Degree: 2')
plt.savefig('testplot.png')
plt.close()
```

Curves



Linear Regression

- How to fit curves with something straight?
- Important idea:
 - Add dimensions with nonlinear transformations
 - Use something straight in this higher dimension space

Assumption (Inductive Bias)

- We can adjust the data with a straight line

Hypothesis Classes


- Straight lines, but in higher dimensions

Curve More!

Curve more

- Improving the fit with higher polynomials, degree 3


$$y = \theta_1 x^3 + \theta_2 x^2 + \theta_3 x + \theta_4$$



Curve more

- Improving the fit with higher polynomials, degree 5


$$y = \theta_1 x^5 + \theta_2 x^4 + \dots + \theta_5 x + \theta_6$$



Curve more


- Improving the fit with higher polynomials, degree 15

$$y = \theta_1 x^{15} + \theta_2 x^{14} + \dots + \theta_{15} x + \theta_{16}$$



Improving the fit?

- Degree 15 is probably not a good idea...



Improving the fit?

- Degree 15 is probably not a good idea...

Overfitting

- The hypothesis adjusts too much to the data
- Training error is small, but increases error outside
- How can we prevent getting carried away?
- Next lecture: overfitting

Summary

2. Supervised Learning

Summary

- Supervised learning: Classification and Regression
- Linear regression: maximum likelihood and least mean squares
- Polynomial regression is linear regression
 - (nonlinear transformation to higher dimensions)
- Nonlinear expansion can be too much of a good thing

Further reading

- Bishop, Chapter 1
- Alpaydin, Section 2.6
- Marsland, Sections 1.4 and 2.4

