

# Construction and Verification of Software

## 2017 - 2018

**MIEI - Integrated Master in Computer Science and Informatics**  
Consolidation block

**Lecture 7 - Concurrent Abstract Data Types**

**João Costa Seco** ([joao.seco@fct.unl.pt](mailto:joao.seco@fct.unl.pt))

based on previous editions by **Luís Caires** ([lcaires@fct.unl.pt](mailto:lcaires@fct.unl.pt))



FACULDADE DE  
CIÊNCIAS E TECNOLOGIA  
UNIVERSIDADE NOVA DE LISBOA

# Concurrent Abstract Data Types

# Concurrency

---

# Concurrency

---

- Several threads of control **share** the same state
- **Interference:**
  - the local view of a thread may change without notice (another thread may act “under the hood”).
- “No-sharing concurrency” (a.k.a. “parallelism”)
  - not treated in this course
- Interference is **the essence** of concurrency
- **Key issue**
  - how to keep state consistency in the presence of sharing and interference
- Reasoning about concurrency is **challenging!**

# Verification of Concurrent ADTs

---

- Check the consistency of stateful objects, when subject to (concurrent) operation requests.
- Any method call must preserve consistency of the ADT
- Consistency is precisely expressed by the representation invariant (and abstraction mapping).
- Every method body *mbody* of an ADT operation must preserve the ReplInv
  - { ReplInv && requires-cond } *mbody* { ReplInv && ensures-cond }
- This line of reasoning works well under the assumption of sequentiality. What if methods overlap in time?
- **Challenge:** how to program and reason about ADTs with interfering methods

# Interference

---

- Consider a Stack ADT
  - **push(v), pop(), isEmpty()**
  - push() interferes with pop() ?
  - pop() interferes with isEmpty() ?
  - pop() interferes with pop() ?
- Consider a Dictionary ADT
  - **assoc(key,data), find(key)**
  - assoc() interferes with find() ?
  - assoc() interferes with assoc() ?
  - find() interferes with find() ?

# Operation Level Behaviour

---

- + useful to reason at the level of ADT operations, not about unstructured low level code and state
- Each ADT operation is performed in three steps
  - The operation is called (by the client thread)
  - The operation is executed (inside the ADT)
  - The operation returns
- Example:
  - push\_call(2)
    - ... **execute** (internally to the ADT)
  - push\_return
  - pop\_call()
    - .... **execute** (internally to the ADT)
  - pop\_return(2)

# Operation Level Behaviour

---

- We may consider several levels of concurrency
  - Several threads are invoking ADT operations but only one may actually be executing the operation
    - **strict serialisation, easier to implement and reason about**
    - **less chances of “unsound” interference**
  - Several threads are invoking ADT operations but more than one may be executing an operation
    - **more parallelism, more concurrency, harder to implement and reason about**
    - **more chances of “unsound” interference**
- How does the concurrent object behaviour relate to the intended sequential object specification ?

# Two Basic Models

---

- **Serializability**

- The global trace is always consistent with some sequential serialisation of previous operations (no overlaps of calls and returns), compatible with the sequential specification.

- **Linearizability**

- The global trace is always consistent with a view in which previous operations appear to occur instantaneously between calls and returns, and the obtained serialisation is compatible with the sequential specification.

- Linearizability is more flexible than serializability, as it allows for more parallel behaviour.

# Desired properties of op execution

---

- Let us reinterpret the “classical” ACID story:
- **Atomicity**
  - No intermediate states are visible (clearly, they are not compatible with the representation invariant)
- **Consistency**
  - Operations lead from a sound state to a sound state (invariant and soundness are preserved)
- **Isolation**
  - This is another word for “no unsafe interference”
- **Durability** (this goes without saying)
  - Effects are undoable (N.B: this is more useful to highlight in the context of database transactions)

# Correctness of Concurrent ADTs

---

- With naive concurrency, it is hard (or impossible) for client code to be sure if a specific **post-condition** holds.
- E.g: two clients modify the concrete state at the same time, bringing the state inconsistent, breaking the representation invariant, or even crashing the code.
- Solution using serialisation:
  - **serialize** usages of concrete states, so that just a **single** thread may be accessing the state at each given moment (mutual exclusion of concrete state)
  - We may then safely reason about such mutually exclusive code fragments as we have done for sequential code.

# Correctness of Concurrent ADTs

---

- With naive concurrency, it is hard (or impossible) for client code to be sure if a specific **pre-condition** holds.
- E.g: client checks that a buffer is not empty, but other thread empties it under the hood.
- Solution:
  - Concurrency control replaces pre-condition checking (on the client side) by explicit waiting for the precondition to hold (inside the ADT).
  - The pre-condition for some ADT op can only be enabled by executing some other ADT op
  - So waiting for a pre-condition must be managed by special programming language or system support, in a coordinated way with other ADT operations

# Concurrent Programming

---

- Reasoning about concurrency is **hard**
- Making sure the code is right is much more difficult than in sequential code
- Trying to simulate the program running in your head and debug it does not work anymore :-)
  - It does not work in the sequential case either, actually..., although you may still believe.
- We will now study how to design and construct correct concurrent code, based on **monitors**
- monitor = invariant preserving concurrent ADT
- Nicely supported by `java.concurrent.util`

# Monitors

Operating  
Systems

C. Weissman  
Editor

## Monitors: An Operating System Structuring Concept

C.A.R. Hoare  
The Queen's University of Belfast

**This paper develops Brinch-Hansen's concept of a monitor as a method of structuring an operating system. It introduces a form of synchronization, describes a possible method of implementation in terms of semaphores and gives a suitable proof rule. Illustrative examples include a single resource scheduler, a bounded buffer, an alarm clock, a buffer pool, a disk head optimizer, and a version of the problem of readers and writers.**

**Key Words and Phrases:** monitors, operating systems, scheduling, mutual exclusion, synchronization, system implementation languages, structured multiprogramming  
**CR Categories:** 4.31, 4.22



# Monitors

---

- An ADT where operations may be called concurrently
- **2 key mechanisms** provided for ensuring consistency:
  - synchronization** (a.k.a. mutual exclusion)
    - only a single thread may “own” the shared state at any time object, and has permission to change it
    - All that client code may expect from shared state is **the invariant, and nothing more than the invariant**
    - any context switches must preserve the invariant
  - concurrency control**
    - pre-condition checking must be usually replaced by explicit waiting for the pre-condition to hold.
    - conditions refine the invariant into finer partitions.

# Implementation of monitors

---

- To implement monitors in Java, we will use locks
  - You have already heard about locks (FSO, CP)
    - A lot harder to reason about programs if we just think of using locks in an unstructured way
- We may later refine the borders of serialisability to get more concurrency (approach linearisability)
  - Still, useful to only use locks as delimiters of abstract operations on the shared state, thought of as a ADT
  - We will use the **java.util.concurrent** API (Doug Lea)
  - We will learn how to design concurrent ADTs without thinking “operationally”, but rather in terms of (partitioned) ownership, invariants, and conditions.

# Example (Bounded Counter)

---

```
class BCounter {  
    int N;  
    int MAX;  
    BCounter(int max) { N = 0 ; MAX = max; }  
    void inc() { N++; }  
    void dec() { N--; }  
    int get() { return N; }  
}
```

# Example (Bounded Counter)

---

```
/*@
    predicate BCounterInv(BCounter c; int v,int m) =
        c.N |-> v &*& c.MAX |-> m &*& v>=0 &*& v<=m;
@*/
class BCounter {
    int N;
    int MAX;
    BCounter(int max)
        //@ requires 0 <= max;
        //@ ensures BCounterInv(this,0,max);
        { N = 0 ; MAX = max; }

    void inc()
        //@ requires BCounterInv(this,?n,?m) &*& n < m;
        //@ ensures BCounterInv(this,n+1,m);
        { N++; }

    void dec()
        //@ requires BCounterInv(this,?n,?m) &*& n > 0;
        //@ ensures BCounterInv(this,n-1,m);
        { N--; }

    int get()
        //@ requires BCounterInv(this,?n,?m);
        //@ ensures BCounterInv(this,n,m) &*& 0<=result &*& result<=m;
        { return N; }
}
```

# Example (Bounded Counter)

---

```
public static void main(String[] args)
//@ requires true;
//@ ensures true;
{
    int MAX = 100;
    BCounter c = new BCounter(MAX);
    //@ assert BCounterInv(c,0,MAX);
    if (c.get() < MAX) {
        c.inc(); // this is ok, precondition satisfied
    }
}
```

# Example (Bounded Counter)

---

```
public static void main(String[] args)
//@ requires true;
//@ ensures true;
{
    int MAX = 100;
    BCounter c = new BCounter(MAX);
    //@ assert BCounterInv(c,0,MAX);
    giveaway(c); // potentially give other thread access to c
    if (c.get() < MAX) {
        //@ assert BCounterInv(c,?v,MAX) &*& v < MAX;
        c.inc();
        // not safe any more as other thread may have acted
    }
}
```

# 1st: Serialise access to shared state

---

```
import java.util.concurrent.*;
import java.util.concurrent.locks.*;
```

```
class BCounter {
    int N;
    int MAX;
    ReentrantLock mon;

    BCounter(int max)
    {
        N = 0 ;
        MAX = max;
        mon = new ReentrantLock();
    }
}
```

...

# Example (Bounded Counter)

---

```
import java.util.concurrent.*;
import java.util.concurrent.locks.*;

class BCounter {
    int N;
    int MAX;
    ReentrantLock mon;
...
    void inc()
    {
        mon.enter(); //request permission to the shared state
        N++;
        mon.leave(); //release ownership of the shared state
    }

    void dec()
    {
        mon.enter(); //request permission to the shared state
        N--;
        mon.leave(); //release ownership of the shared state
    }
}
```

# Example (Bounded Counter)

---

```
import java.util.concurrent.*;
import java.util.concurrent.locks.*;

class BCounter {
    int N;
    int MAX;
    ReentrantLock mon;
...
    void inc()
    {
        mon.lock(); //request permission to the shared state
        N++;
        mon.unlock(); //release ownership of the shared state
    }

    void dec()
    {
        mon.lock(); //request permission to the shared state
        N--;
        mon.unlock(); //release ownership of the shared state
    }
}
```

# Example (Bounded Counter)

---

```
import java.util.concurrent.*;
import java.util.concurrent.locks.*;

class BCounter {
    int N;
    int MAX;
    ReentrantLock mon;
...
    int get()
    {
        int r;
        mon.enter();
        r = N; // put a copy on the stack, private to the thread
        mon.leave();
        return r;
    }
}
```

# Hoare Rule for enter / leave

---

$\{ \text{emp} \} \text{m.enter} () \{ \textit{SharedStateInv} \}$

$\{ \textit{SharedStateInv} \} \text{m.leave} () \{ \text{emp} \}$

*SharedStateInv* is the representation invariant.

In our example ...

```
//@ predicate BCounterInv(BCounter c) =  
    c.N |-> ?v &*& c.MAX |-> ?m &*& v>=0 &*& v<=m;
```

SO:

$\{ \text{emp} \} \text{m.enter} () \{ \text{BCounterInv}(\text{this}) \}$

# Issue: Red assertions not available!

---

```
class BCounter {
    int N;
    int MAX;
    ReentrantLock mon;

    void inc()
        //@ requires BCounterInv(this,?n,?m) &*& n < m;
        //@ ensures BCounterInv(this,n+1,m);
    {
        mon.enter(); //@ request permission to the shared state
        //@ assert BCounterInv(this,?n,?m)
        N++;
        //@ assert BCounterInv(this,n+1,m)
        mon.leave(); //@ release ownership of the shared state
    }
}
```

# How can a client check $n < m$ ?

---

- With naive concurrency, it is hard (or impossible) for client code to be sure a **pre-condition** holds.
- E.g: client checks that a buffer is not empty, but other thread empties it under the hood.
- Solution:
  - Concurrency control replaces pre-condition checking (on the client side) by explicit waiting for the precondition to hold (inside the ADT).
  - The pre-condition for some ADT op can only be enabled by executing some other ADT op
  - So waiting for a pre-condition must be managed by special programming language or system support, in a coordinated way with other ADT operations

# “invisible” abstract state

---

- Many threads may be interfering, so the only thing one may assume is the invariant, only after entering the shared state a client may know extra details about the concrete state.
- In fact, nothing specific about the abstract state may be revealed to client code, and we need to be less informative about the abstract state (e.g., no current val)
- Inside the object, the only unprotected objects are the locks (or the single lock).
- Each lock can be used to ask permission to access a disjoint part of the shared state.
- We must precisely define which part of the shared state is separately owned by each lock.

# Example (Bounded Counter)

---

```
/*@
    predicate_ctor BCounter_shared_state (BCounter c) () =
        c.N |-> ?v &* & v >= 0 &* & c.MAX |-> ?m &* & m > 0 &* & v <= m;
*/

/*@ predicate BCounterInv(BCounter c) =
    c.mon |-> ?l &* &
    l != null &* & lck(l, 1, BCounter_shared_state(c))
*/
class BCounter {
    int N;
    int MAX;
    ReentrantLock mon;
    BCounter(int max)
    //@ ensures BCounterInv(this);
    {
        N = 0 ;
        MAX = max;
        mon = new ReentrantLock();
    }
}
```

# Example (Bounded Counter)

---

```
class BCounter {
    int N;
    int MAX;
    ReentrantLock mon;

    void inc()
    //@ requires BCounterInv(this);
    //@ ensures BCounterInv(this);
    {
        mon.enter(); // request permission to the shared state
        //@ open BCounter_shared_state(this)();
        N++;
        //@ close BCounter_shared_state(this)();
        mon.leave(); // release ownership of the shared state
    }
}
```

# Example (Bounded Counter)

---

```
class BCounter {
    int N;
    int MAX;
    ReentrantLock mon;

    void dec()
    //@ requires BCounterInv(this);
    //@ ensures BCounterInv(this);
    {
        mon.enter();
        //@ open BCounter_shared_state(this)();
        N--;
        //@ close BCounter_shared_state(this)();
        mon.leave();
    }
}
```

# What if $N=0$ ?

---

```
class BCounter {
    int N;
    int MAX;
    ReentrantLock mon;

    void dec()
    //@ requires BCounterInv(this); // no way to reveal a pre-cond!
    //@ ensures BCounterInv(this);
    {
        mon.enter();
        //@ open BCounter_shared_state(this)();
        N--;
        //@ close BCounter_shared_state(this)(); // must ensure  $N \geq 0$ !
        mon.leave();
    }
}
```

# Partition shared state using conditions

---

```
class BCounter {
    int N;
    int MAX;
    ReentrantLock mon;
    Condition notzero;
    Condition notmax;

    void dec()
    //@ requires BCounterInv(this);
    //@ ensures BCounterInv(this);
    {
        mon.enter();
        //@ open BCounter_shared_state(this)();
        if (N==0) notzero.wait();
        N--;
        //@ close BCounter_shared_state(this)();
        mon.leave();
    }
}
```

# Partition shared state using conditions

```
/*@  
  
predicate_ctor BCounter_shared_state (BCounter c) () =  
    c.N |-> ?v &* & v >= 0 &* & c.MAX |-> ?m &* & m > 0 &* & v <= m;  
  
predicate_ctor BCounter_nonzero (BCounter c) () =  
    c.N |-> ?v &* & c.MAX |-> ?m &* & v > 0 &* & m > 0 &* & v <= m;  
  
predicate_ctor BCounter_nonmax (BCounter c) () =  
    c.N |-> ?v &* & c.MAX |-> ?m &* & v < m &* & m > 0 &* & v >= 0;  
  
predicate BCounterInv(BCounter c) =  
    c.mon |-> ?l  
    &* & l != null  
    &* & lck(l, 1, BCounter_shared_state(c))  
    &* & c.notzero |-> ?cc  
    &* & cc != null  
    &* & cond(cc, BCounter_shared_state(c), BCounter_nonzero(c))  
    &* & c.notmax |-> ?cm  
    &* & cm != null  
    &* & cond(cm, BCounter_shared_state(c), BCounter_nonmax(c));  
@*/
```

# Partition shared state using conditions

---

```
class BCounter {
    int N;
    int MAX;
    ReentrantLock mon;
    Condition notzero;
    Condition notmax;

    void dec()
    //@ requires BCounterInv(this);
    //@ ensures BCounterInv(this);
    {
        mon.enter();
        //@ open BCounter_shared_state(this)();
        if (N==0) notzero.wait();
        N--;
        //@ close BCounter_shared_state(this)();
        mon.leave();
    }
}
```

# Example (Bounded Counter)

---

```
class BCounter {
    int N;
    int MAX;
    ReentrantLock mon;
    Condition notzero;
    Condition notmax;

    BCounter(int max)
        //@ requires max > 0;
        //@ ensures BCounterInv(this);
    {
        MAX = max; mon = new ReentrantLock();
        //@ close BCounter_shared_state(this)();
        //@ close set_cond(BCounter_shared_state(this),BCounter_nonzero(this));
        notzero = mon.newCondition(); // notzero set to mean N > 0 !!
        //@ close set_cond(BCounter_shared_state(this),BCounter_nonmax(this));
        notmax = mon.newCondition(); // notmax set to mean N < MAX !!
    }
}
```

# Partition shared state using conditions

---

```
class BCounter {
  int N;
  int MAX;
  ReentrantLock mon;
  Condition notzero; Condition notmax;
  void dec()
  //@ requires BCounterInv(this);
  //@ ensures BCounterInv(this);
  {
    mon.enter();
    //@ open BCounter_shared_state(this)();
    if (N==0) notzero.wait();
    //@ open BCounter_notzero(this)(); // refined state >=0
    N--;
    //@ close BCounter_shared_state(this)();
    mon.leave();
  }
}
```

# Partition shared state using conditions

---

```
class BCounter {
  int N;
  int MAX;
  ReentrantLock mon;
  Condition notzero; Condition notmax;
  void inc()
  //@ requires BCounterInv(this);
  //@ ensures BCounterInv(this);
  {
    mon.enter();
    //@ open BCounter_shared_state(this)();
    if (N==MAX) notmax.wait();
    //@ open BCounter_notmax(this)(); // refined state <= max
    N++;
    //@ close BCounter_shared_state(this)();
    mon.leave();
  }
}
```

# Hoare Rule for await

---

$$\{ \text{SharedStateInv} \} C.\text{await}() \{ \text{SharedStateInv} \ \&\& \text{cond}(C) \}$$

**cond(C)** is the refined state property denoted by condition C.

In our example:

- $\text{cond}(\text{notzero}) = (N > 0)$
- $\text{cond}(\text{notmax}) = (N < \text{MAX})$

# Ensure progress using signalling

---

```
void inc()
//@ requires BCounterInv(this);
//@ ensures BCounterInv(this);
{
    mon.enter();
    //@ open BCounter_shared_state(this)();
    if (N==MAX) notmax.wait();
    //@ assert BCounter_notmax(this)();
    N++;
    //@ close BCounter_notzero(this)();
    notzero.signal();
    //@ close BCounter_shared_state(this)();
    mon.leave();
}
```

# Ensure progress using signalling

---

```
void dec()  
//@ requires BCounterInv(this);  
//@ ensures BCounterInv(this);  
{  
    mon.enter();  
    //@ open BCounter_shared_state(this());  
    if (N==0) notzero.wait();  
    //@ assert BCounter_notzero(this());  
    N--;  
    //@ close BCounter_notmax(this());  
    notmax.signal();  
    //@ close BCounter_shared_state(this());  
    mon.leave();  
}
```

# Hoare Rule for signal

---

$\{ \text{SharedStateInv} \ \&\& \ \text{cond}(C) \} C.\text{signal}() \{ \text{SharedStateInv} \}$

$\text{cond}(C)$  is the refined state property denoted by condition  $C$ .

In our example:

- $\text{cond}(\text{notzero}) = (N > 0)$
- $\text{cond}(\text{notmax}) = (N < \text{MAX})$

# Defending against unsound implementation

---

**Excerpt from Java API documentation:**

## **Implementation Considerations**

*When waiting upon a `Condition`, a "spurious wakeup" is permitted to occur, in general, as a concession to the underlying platform semantics. This has little practical impact on most application programs as a `Condition` **should always be waited** upon in a loop, testing the state predicate that is being waited for.*

*An implementation is free to remove the possibility of spurious wakeups but it is recommended that applications programmers always assume that they can occur and so always wait in a loop.*

# Defending against unsound implementation

---

```
void inc()
//@ requires BCounterInv(this);
//@ ensures BCounterInv(this);
{
    mon.enter();
    //@ open BCounter_shared_state(this)();
    while(N==MAX) notmax.wait();
    //@ assert BCounter_notmax(this)();
    N++;
    //@ close BCounter_notzero(this)();
    notzero.signal();
    //@ assert BCounter_shared_state(this)();
    mon.leave();
}
```

# Defending against unsound implementation

---

```
void dec()  
//@ requires BCounterInv(this);  
//@ ensures BCounterInv(this);  
{  
    mon.enter();  
    //@ open BCounter_shared_state(this());  
    while (N==0) notzero.wait();  
    //@ assert BCounter_notzero(this());  
    N--;  
    //@ close BCounter_notmax(this());  
    notmax.signal();  
    //@ assert BCounter_shared_state(this());  
    mon.leave();  
}
```

# Concurrent ADT Construction Steps

---

# Concurrent ADT Construction Steps

---

- Associate a monitor to the ADT (mon)
  - Determine the CADT Representation Invariant (RI), which now talks about the **shared state**
- The RI describes the memory footprint of the shared state, subject to other various conditions.
- In the implementation of each operation of the CADT
- To get access to the RI, **you must** `mon.lock()`
- When done and **only if the RI holds** you `mon.unlock()`
- Replace the ADT op pre-conditions by conditions inside the monitor (this part must be carefully though).

# Summary (key monitor primitives)

---

- **mon.enter(); // a.k.a. mon.lock()**

asks for exclusive access to the shared state

```
{ P } mon.enter() { P &* & SSInv }
```

- **mon.leave(); // a.k.a. mon.unlock()**

releases exclusive access to the shared state

```
{ P &* & SSInv } mon.leave(); { P }
```

- **cond.wait();**

releases exclusive access to the shared state

```
{ P &* & SSInv } cond.await(); { P &* & SSCond }
```

- **cond.signal()**

releases exclusive access to the shared state

```
{ P &* & SSCond } cond.signal(); { P &* & SSInv }
```

# Concurrent ADT Construction Steps

---

- To replace ADT op pre-conditions by conditions inside the monitor, we must consider the following aspects:
- When a thread enters a CADT op and gets ownership of the RI, it may find that the state does not satisfy the pre-condition (e.g., wants to dec but counter value is zero)
- The thread **must then await for the condition to hold** (e.g., for the value to be  $> 0$ ).
- Conversely, whenever a thread running inside the CADT establishes any one of the monitor conditions (e.g., inc establishes value  $> 0$ ), **it has the duty to signal the condition** (so that the runtime system, may awake a waiting thread)
- Notice: signaling is there to help the system to progress, and simplify the implementation of monitors.

# Java Monitors Interface

---

```
package java.util.concurrent.locks;
```

```
interface Lock:
```

```
void lock() // Acquires the lock.
```

```
void unlock() // Releases the lock.
```

```
Condition newCondition() // Returns a new Condition instance that is  
bound to this Lock instance.
```

```
interface Condition:
```

```
void await() // Causes the current thread to wait until the  
condition holds (is signaled to hold).
```

```
void signal() // Signals to the runtime system that the condition  
holds. This may cause a waiting thread on this condition to wakeup.
```

These are just a few hints, read the java docs!

# Java Monitors Verifast Interface

---

```
package java.util.concurrent.locks;
```

```
/*@
```

```
predicate lck(ReentrantLock s; int p, predicate() inv);
```

```
predicate cond(Condition c; predicate() inv, predicate() p);
```

```
predicate enter_lck(int p, predicate() inv) = (p == 0 ? emp : inv()) ;
```

```
predicate set_cond(predicate() inv, predicate() p) = true;
```

```
@*/
```

**enter\_lock**: to associate Representation Invariant to monitor

**set\_cond**: to associate logical assertion to Condition object

# Java Monitors Verifast Interface

---

```
public class ReentrantLock {

    public ReentrantLock();
    //@ requires enter_lck(1, ?inv);
    //@ ensures lck(this, 1, inv);

    public void lock();
    //@ requires [?f]lck(?t, 1, ?inv);
    //@ ensures [f]lck(t, 0, inv) &* & inv();

    public void unlock();
    //@ requires [?f]lck(?t, 0, ?inv) &* & inv();
    //@ ensures [f]lck(t, 1, inv);

    public Condition newCondition();
    //@ requires lck(?t, 1, ?inv) &* & set_cond(inv, ?pred);
    //@ ensures lck(t, 1, inv) &* & result != null &* & cond(result, inv, pred);
}
```

# Java Monitors Verifast Interface

---

```
package java.util.concurrent.locks;

public interface Condition {

    public void await();
        //@ requires cond(this,?inv,?acond) &*& inv();
        //@ ensures cond(this,inv, acond) &*& acond();

    public void signal();
        //@ requires cond(this,?inv,?acond) &*& acond();
        //@ ensures cond(this,inv,acond) &*& inv();

}
```

# Counter ADT (Java + Verifast)

---

```
package CCounterMain;

import java.util.concurrent.*;
import java.util.concurrent.locks.*;

/*@

predicate_ctor CCounter_shared_state (CCounter c) () = c.N |-> ?v &* & v >= 0;

predicate_ctor CCounter_notzero_state (CCounter c) () = c.N |-> ?v &* & v > 0;

predicate CCounterInv(CCounter c;) =
  c.mon |-> ?l &* & l != null &* & lck(1,1, CCounter_shared_state(c)) &* &
  c.notzero |-> ?cc &* & cc != null &* &
    cond(cc, CCounter_shared_state(c), CCounter_notzero_state(c));

@*/
```

# Counter ADT (Java + Verifast)

---

```
public class CCounter {

    int N;
    ReentrantLock mon;
    Condition notzero;

    public CCounter()
        //@ requires true;
        //@ ensures CCounterInv(this);
    {
        //@ close CCounter_shared_state(this) ();
        //@ close enter_lck(1,CCounter_shared_state(this));
        mon = new ReentrantLock();
        //@ close set_cond(CCounter_shared_state(this),CCounter_notzero_state(this));
        notzero = mon.newCondition();
        //@ close CCounterInv(this);
    }
    ...
}
```

# Counter ADT (Java + Verifast)

---

```
public class CCounter {  
  
    int N;  
    ReentrantLock mon;  
    Condition notzero;  
  
    public void inc()  
        //@ requires [?f]CCounterInv(this);  
        //@ ensures [f]CCounterInv(this);  
    {  
        //@ open CCounterInv(this);  
        mon.lock();  
        //@ open [f] CCounter_shared_state(this)();  
        N++;  
        //@ close CCounter_notzero_state(this)();  
        notzero.signal();  
        mon.unlock();  
        //@ close [f]CCounterInv(this);  
    }  
}
```

# Counter ADT (Java + Verifast)

---

```
public class CCounter {
    ...
    public void dec()
        //@ requires [?f]CCounterInv(this);
        //@ ensures [f]CCounterInv(this);
    {
        try {
            //@ open [f]CCounterInv(this);
            mon.lock();
            //@ open CCounter_shared_state(this)();
            if (N==0) {
                //@ close CCounter_shared_state(this)();
                notzero.await(); }
            //@ open CCounter_notzero_state(this)();
            N--;
        } catch (java.lang.InterruptedException e) {}
        //@ close CCounter_shared_state(this)();
        mon.unlock();
        //@ close [f]CCounterInv(this);
    }
}
```